

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 14 2008

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP
San Jose, California

MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 14, 2008
TO: Examiner Ann Loftus; Tel. 571.272.7342; Fax. 571.273.8300
FROM: Karl Rees; Tel. 408-414-1233; Fax 408-414-1076
SUBJECT: U.S. Patent Application No. 10/078,051 (Abdi, et al.)
Attorney Docket No. 50269-0516
3rd Office Action (Non-Final)

Agenda for Telephone Interview on April 15th, 2008, at 2 P.M. (EST)

- I. Discuss disqualification of *Hosea* prior art
- II. Overview of Claim 1
- III. Discuss differences between cited references and Claim 1
 - a. *Liu* does not disclose intercepting a message "wherein the message is addressed to said second participant and **not to said device.**"
 - b. The references do not disclose "determining whether said message has billing implications."
 - c. The references do not disclose that maintaining a log occurs "if said message has billing implications."
- IV. Discuss differences between cited references and Claim 2
 - a. Extracting a URL is not "identifying which services are being provided to said clients by said servers based on the content of messages."
- V. Discuss differences between cited references and Claim 13
 - a. *Oliver* does not teach to determine a service class based on an identity determined from identifier information in an intercepted message.
 - b. *Oliver* does not teach that the intercepting device should "direct said message . . . based on said service class."